### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law #3012-d

Task 1. School District Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law ¤3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law x3012-d and Subpart 30 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implement APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modifica a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law x3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Region Complete the requirements of Education Law x3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Region Complete the requirements of Education Law x3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Region Complete the requirements of Education Law x3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Region Complete the Rules of the Board of Rules Complete the Rules of the Rules of the Rules of the Board of Rules Complete the Rules of th

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreemen between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/app 3012-d.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

**BAY SHORE UFSD** 

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### 2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the ÒAll Other CoursesÓ section of this form (Task 2.10).

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with m than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, untimajority of students are covered.)

Status Date: 07/28/2016 11:57 AM

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

¥ State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents) equivalents if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Global 2	US History
Regents Assessment	Global 2	US History

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
9 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		
10 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	Common Core English		
12 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for tea with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessi until a majority of students are covered.)

#### For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- ¥ District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- ¥ State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- ¥ School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- ¥ District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	District- or BOCES- wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		
7 Science	District- or BOCES- wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		
6 Social Studies	District- or BOCES- wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		
7 Social Studies	District- or BOCES- wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		
8 Social Studies	District- or BOCES- wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### 2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for tea with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessi until a majority of students are covered.)

#### For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- ¥ District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- ¥ State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- ¥ School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- ¥ District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- · Column 4: measure used
- · Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	К	12	All courses not named above		Common Core English, Common Core Algebra
K-3 Art	к	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	lwide, group, team, or	All Regents given in building/district

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Subject		

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law x3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

				US History
9	12	Science Electives	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History
9	12	Social Studies Electives	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History
К	12	Special Education	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History
7	12	Technology	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History
К	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History
К	12	Computer	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History
К	12	ELL	Teacher- specific results	NYSESLAT
К	12	Special Education	Teacher- specific results	NYSAA

### 2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	/e	Effectiv	⁄e	Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive						
20	19	18												
97-	93-													
100														
%														

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law #3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### 2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

#### 2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

#### Please check the boxes below.

Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commission that results in a student growth score.

Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner s320cinTm /i /TT3 1 T0 15rmidmic hint5racteristendent or another trained

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law #3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

### Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/app 3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professio performance-review-law-and-regulations.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher of students are covered by a state-created growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another train administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provide growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES r also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	96	92	89	84	79	74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	12	5- 8%	0- 4%

### 2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 3.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/app 3012-d.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	teachers each rubric applies to.

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
Е	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

### **HEDI** Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score			
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00			
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score			
Effective:	2.50 3.49				
	-				
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score			
Developing:	1.50	2.49			

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

Required - Principal/ Administrator			Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used
80%	20%	N/A	(No Response)

1 6	٦١.	۸۰۰	ura	nces	
4.1	21 <i>I</i>	455	เมเล	nces	Š

Please check all of the boxes below.	

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 5.1) Scoring Ranges

#### **Student Performance**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

### **Teacher Observation**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Studen Performance Category Scor			Overall Observation Category Score and Rating			
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum		
Н	18	20	Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0		
Е	15	17	Е	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74		
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74		
I	0	12	I	0	1.49 to 1.74		

### 5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Teacher Observation Category									
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)						
	(E)										
Student Performance											
Category											

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

ask of Maditional Requirements (Teachers) Tasks of Total
Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016
For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.
6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law #3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

#### X. Appeals Process D Teacher Evaluation

The parties have agreed that any appeal of a teacher with a developing or ineffective evaluation shall be heard by and end with the Superintendent of Schools, following a fair, reasonable and expeditious process.

- 1. Within five (5) school days of the receipt of a teacherÕs APPR report, the teacher may request, in writing, review by the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee.
- 2. The appeal writing shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal to the Superintendent of Schools. Failure to articulate a particular basis for the appeal in the aforesaid appeal writing shall be deemed a waiver of that claim. The evaluated teacher may only challenge the substance of the annual professional performance review, and/or the school districtÕs issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan.
- 3. Within ten (10) school days of receipt of the appeal, the Superintendent of Schools shall render a final determination, in writing, respecting the appeal. The determination of the Superintendent of Schools, or his/her designee, shall be final and shall not be grievable, arbitrable, or reviewable in any other forum.
- 4. Teachers who receive a rating of Òhighly effectiveÓ or ÒeffectiveÓ shall not be permitted to appeal their rating.
- 5. Non-tenured teachers shall not be permitted to appeal any aspect of their annual evaluation, or the school districtÕs issuance and/or implementation of the terms of a teacher improvement plan.

#### 6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

# 6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Bay Shore Union Free School District will ensure that all lead evaluators/evaluators/independent evaluators are properly trained and	certified,

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law #3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### Please check all of the boxes below

Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if availa and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law #3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evide of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for stude portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissione Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless suc artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growtl scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as practicable thereafter.

#### 6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growtl target.

Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for ea classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours the grade.

Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administratic and scoring of those assessments.

Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area w used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed to the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

### 6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, so course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to the Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirement and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to the Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirement that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.

Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/app.3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

#### 7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principalÕs students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or dev by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessm which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more deta principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	К		NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7		All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

### **BAY SHORE UFSD**

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

### 7.2) Student Learning Objectives (Original)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs must be used for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, math, and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the grades/courses covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district/BOCES must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

¥ If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs must begin first with the SGP results.

Status Date: 07/28/2016 11:57 AM

- ¥ Additional SLOs must then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments for which there is no State-provided growth measure, where applications are subjects with State assessments for which there is no State-provided growth measure.
- ¥ If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/courses(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options:
- ¥ State-approved 3rd party assessment; or
- ¥ State-approved district, regionally, or BOCES-developed course-specific assessment.

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

### 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	/e		Develo	ping	Ineffective									
20	19	18	17	16	15												
97-	93-	90-	85-														
100		92															
%	%	%															

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/apr 3012-d.

Status Date: 07/28/2016 11:57 AM

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professio performance-review-law-and-regulations.

#### 100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of prog

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
3	5	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		
6	8	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English Living Environment US History		

### 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-		1 1	II			II		11	l										5-	0-
100 %		1 1			l I			ll								20 %		12 %	8%	4%

<sup>7.4)</sup> Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law #3012-d

Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

#### Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/app 3012-d.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law #3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

#### 9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

#### 9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year acrost total number of annual school visits.

Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpa 3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.

Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

#### 9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

#### 9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected pra

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating					
	Minimum	Maximum				
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0				
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74				
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74				
I	0	1.49 to 1.74				

### **HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1 49

### 9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

### **Required Subcomponents:**

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

#### **Optional Subcomponent:**

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<sup>\*</sup> If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 10.1) Scoring Ranges

#### **Student Performance Category**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

#### **Principal School Visit Category**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	<del></del>							
	Overall Studen Performance Category Score			Overall School Visit Category Score and Ratin				
	Minimum Maximum			Minimum	Maximum			
Н	18	20	Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0			
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74			
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74			
I	0	12		0	1.49 to 1.74			

#### 10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Principal School Visit Category						
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)			
	Highly Effective (H)	н	Н	E	D			
Student Performance	Effective (E)	н	Е	E	D			
Category	Developing (D)	E	E	D	ı			
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I			

<sup>\*</sup> If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Edu30112nd (5))(a) and (7)).

### 10.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law #3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or soon as practicable thereafter.

Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, a subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

#### 11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

PIP.docx

#### 11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

#### 11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law ¤3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law ¤3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law ¤3012-d.

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law #3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

#### Appeals Process D Principal Evaluation

The parties have agreed that any appeal of a principal with a developing or ineffective evaluation shall be heard by and end with the Superintendent of Schools, following a fair, reasonable and expeditious process.

- 1. Within five (5) school days of the receipt of a principal Os APPR report, the principal may request, in writing, review by the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee.
- 2. The appeal writing shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal to the Superintendent of Schools. Failure to articulate a particular basis for the appeal in the aforesaid appeal writing shall be deemed a waiver of that claim. The evaluated principal may only challenge the substance of the annual professional performance review, and/or the school districtÕs issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan.
- 3. Within ten (10) school days of receipt of the appeal, the Superintendent of Schools shall render a final determination, in writing, respecting the appeal. The determination of the Superintendent of Schools, or his/her designee, shall be final and shall not be grievable, arbitrable, or reviewable in any other forum.
- 4. Principals who receive a rating of Òhighly effectiveÓ or ÒeffectiveÓ shall not be permitted to appeal their rating.
- 5. Non-tenured principals shall not be permitted to appeal any aspect of their annual evaluation, or the school districtÕs issuance and/or implementation of the terms of a principal improvement plan.

#### 11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

# 11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Bay Shore Union Free School District will ensure that all lead evaluators/evaluators/independent evaluators are properly trained and certified, necessary, to complete an individualÕs performance review. Evaluator training will be conducted by Eastern Suffolk BOCES or by contract with outside trainer. Lead evaluator training will be conducted in accordance with the certification requirements per the CommissionerÕs regulations. T training will include the nine required elements of the Regents rules 30-3.10.

The Superintendent or his designee will certify lead evaluators upon receipt of proper documentation that the individual has fully completed training. The Superintendent will maintain records of certification of evaluators.

The Bay Shore UFSD is now establishing a process to ensure that all evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability and the District anticipates that this training will include measures such as: data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments; and/or annual calibration sessions across evaluators. The Superintendent or his designee will ensure that lead evaluators and/or other evaluators/independent evaluators participate in annual training are recertified on an annual basis. Eastern Suffolk BOCES will be utilized to provide the training and recertification. Any individual who fails to achieve required training or certification or recertification, as applicable, shall not conduct or complete evaluations.

Annually, all evaluators shall be appropriately trained and certified. Training will last a full day (6 hours).

#### 11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

### Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law ¤3012-d

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 07/27/2016

Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

appr recertification form 7.27.16.pdf